# **Great Falls High**

411 Sunset Ave

Great Falls, South Carolina 29055

**Grades** 9–12 High School

Enrollment 339 Students

Principal Howard J Rheiner 803-482-2210

**Superintendent** Larry B. Heath 803–385–6122

**Board Chair** John W. Davis 803–482–4524

# 2006 ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

## ABSOLUTE RATING

# BELOW AVERAGE

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

10 12 11 6 1

## IMPROVEMENT RATING

# BELOW AVERAGE

The school's Improvement rating was raised one level because of substantial improvement in the achievement of students belonging to historically underachieving groups of students.

#### ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

О

This school met 6 out of 7 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

## SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD									
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress						
2003	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	No						
2004	Good	Excellent	Yes						
2005	Average	Average	No						
2006	Below Average	Below Average	No						

# DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS										
		Our School		High Schools with						
				Students Like Ours						
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006				
Passed 2 subtests	72.6	64.6	71.2	72.0	72.7	74.7				
Passed 1 subtest	21.4	19.8	10.6	14.8	13.5	11.9				
Passed no subtests	6.0	15.6	18.2	13.6	13.8	13.4				

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	90.1%	89.7%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	1.4	10.6
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	1.4	10.9
Seniors who met the grade point average	37.5	48.1

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	81	218
Number of Diplomas	60	162
Rate	74.1%	76.7%

END OF COURSE TESTS		
Provided to the desired and the second	00.11	High Schools with
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	79.7	81.0
English 1	58.3	61.2
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	31.6	57.9
Physical Science	48.4	40.5
All Subjects	57.7	59.9

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS									
	HSAP Pas by Spri	sage Rate ng 2006	Eligibility Schola		Graduation Rate				
	n	%	n	n %		%	Met State Objective		
All Students	81	90.1	72	1.4	60	74.1	Yes		
Gender									
Male	37	89.2	35	2.9	42	66.7	N/A		
Female	44	90.9	37	0.0	38	84.2	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	43	88.4	40	2.5	43	79.1	N/A		
African American	38	92.1	32	0.0	37	70.3	N/A		
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
Non disabled	71	93.0	59	1.7	72	76.4	N/A		
Disabilities other than speech	10	70.0	13	0.0	9	55.6	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	81	90.1	72	1.4	81	74.1	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	81	90.1	72	1.4	81	74.1	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	43	93.0	41	68.3	41	68.3	N/A		
Full-pay meals	38	86.8	39	2.6	40	80.0	N/A		

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

						G	

	1.	1	1.	. /	1	1	/2	_ /	1
	Enrollment 1st	g   g	% Below Basis	ř /	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and	Performance Objective	Participation Objective Mos
	[ je ]	% Tested	×	% Basic		<b>]</b>	[ ]		2 / g / g
	100	1 %	/ %	/ %	1 4	Ag		/ lo 159	
	्रिके हि	1 🖺	/ %	/	/ %	/ %	1 4 5	1 4 3	123
F	nglish/Lan	nuage Art	/ s = State	<i>l</i> Performa	1	,	3%		
All Students	68	100.0	21.2	42.4	25.8	10.6	40.9	No	Yes
Gender		10010	22		20.0	10.0	10.0		
Male	33	100.0	25.8	45.2	25.8	3.2	35.5	N/A	N/A
Female	35	100.0	17.1	40.0	25.7	17.1	45.7	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	41	100.0	17.9	38.5	30.8	12.8	46.2	I/S	Yes
African American	27	100.0	25.9	48.1	18.5	7.4	33.3	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status			-	-	-	-	-		
Not Disabled	58	100.0	17.5	43.9	29.8	8.8	43.9	N/A	N/A
Disabled	10	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	68	100.0	21.2	42.4	25.8	10.6	40.9	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	68	100.0	21.2	42.4	25.8	10.6	40.9	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	31	100.0	26.7	50.0	13.3	10.0	30.0	I/S	I/S
Full-pay meals	37	100.0	16.7	36.1	36.1	11.1	50.0	N/A	N/A
	Mathemati	cs – State	Perform	ance Ohio	ctive = 50	n n%			
All Students	68	100.0	22.7	34.8	30.3	12.1	57.6	Yes	Yes
Gender	1 00	100.0	22.1	34.0	30.3	12.1	57.0	163	163
Male	33	100.0	19.4	32.3	41.9	6.5	58.1	N/A	N/A
Female	35	100.0	25.7	37.1	20.0	17.1	57.1	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	1 00	100.0	20.7	07.1	20.0	17.1	07.1	14// (	14/71
White	41	100.0	23.1	30.8	30.8	15.4	59.0	I/S	Yes
African American	27	100.0	22.2	40.7	29.6	7.4	55.6	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status		14/7	14/7	14/71	14/7	14/7	14/71	1/0	1/0
Not Disabled	58	100.0	21.1	36.8	33.3	8.8	59.6	N/A	N/A
Disabled	10	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status	10	100.0	13//1	. 1// 1	13//1	13//1	0.0	1,0	.,0
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	68	100.0	22.7	34.8	30.3	12.1	57.6	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency		100.0		0 1.0	00.0	1 1	07.0	14// (	14/1
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Profisions	60	100.0	22.7	24.0	20.2	12.1	57.6	N/A	NI/A

Non-Limited English Proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

68

31

100.0

100.0

22.7

20.0

25.0

34.8

40.0

30.3

30.0

30.6

12.1

10.0

57.6

53.3

N/A

I/S

N/A

I/S

N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE				10/30/06 1201003
	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 339)				
Retention rate	7.8%		6.5%	7.0%
Attendance rate		No change	95.4%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech		Up from 2.5% Down from 15.3%	9.3% 12.2%	7.9% 12.3%
Older than usual for grade	14.5%		9.3%	9.5%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses		No change	1.2%	1.2%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	8.1% N/A	Up from 7.5% N/A	8.5% N/A	11.2% N/A
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*	1.4%		9.0%	10.2%
Annual dropout rate	5.3%	Up from 4.0%	3.0%	2.8%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%	No change	6.1%	3.5%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	214	Up from 175	504	448
Students participating in worked-based experiences		Up from 16.7%	38.5%	24.2%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	79.0%	Up from 74.9%	80.3%	80.0%
Career/technology completers placed *Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem Teachers (n= 23)	100.0% ents.	No change	97.2%	99.1%
Teachers with advanced degrees	60.9%	Down from 62.5%	51.0%	55.5%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV		N/AV	N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	6.1%		7.6%	9.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	10.5%	Up from 5.0%	10.0%	9.9%
Teachers returning from previous year		Up from 77.1%	87.3%	86.3%
Teacher attendance rate		Up from 94.0%	95.3%	95.3%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher		Up 6.1% Up from 9.5 days	\$43,557 12.3 days	\$42,943 11.2 days
School	12.1 dayo	op nom ole daye	12.0 dayo	11.2 dayo
Principal's years at school	7.0	Up from 6.0	4.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects		Up from 19.2 to 1	25.4 to 1	25.7 to 1
Prime instructional time	89.3%	•	88.7%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$8,768	Up 8.2%	\$6,580	\$6,792
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	55.3%	Down from 56.2%	57.3%	55.3%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	56.8%		62.0%	61.1%
Opportunities in the arts		No change	Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences		Down from 77.5%	94.6%	92.8%
SACS accreditation Character development	Below	No change Down from Average	Yes Good	Yes Good
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Average			
		Our	District	State

		Our District	Stat	te
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers		6.3%	6.2	%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers		N/A	10.2	2%
	Sta	te Objective	Met State Ol	bjective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in this school		0.0%	No	
Student attendance in this school *or greater than last year		94.0%*	Yes	

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Great Falls High School Seniors earned scholarships totaling over \$700,000 for school year 2005-2006. The school excelled in many curricular and co-curricular areas. The JROTC program received honor unit distinction recognition from the Federal Government. The after-school academic lab again operated with the help from the State Department of Education and the J Marion Sims Foundation of Lancaster, South Carolina. The lab has also added a recovery credit component that allows students to work on courses they are failing or need for initial credit.

The Teacher Cadet Program had a successful initial year and will continue in the future to be of value to all student participants. The summer reading program, in its second year, will help all students prepare for rigorous reading assignments. All students will, once again, read two books over the summer and prepare two projects, one visual and one written.

New for school year 2006-2007 will be bridge classes for rising ninth grade students in English and Math. These courses will help students prepare for state-mandated end-of-course exams and the high school exit exam.

The faculty, staff and administration at our school will continue to help students create a bridge to the future during school year 2006-2007.

Howard J Rheiner, Principal John Paul Sellers, Chairman, School Improvement Council

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	16	62	31
Percent satisfied with learning environment	93.8%	66.1%	80.6%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	93.8%	71.0%	73.3%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	62.5%	75.4%	83.9%

<sup>\*</sup>Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.